

STANDARDS FOR EVALUATING PROPOSALS

Amended by Resolution No. 2011-1; February 2, 2011

Pursuant to Government Code Section 56375, Santa Cruz LAFCO has established standards for the evaluation of proposals. The Commission uses these standards when reviewing and acting upon proposals for annexations and other boundary changes.

Santa Cruz LAFCO Policy 1.1 - Consistency with Spheres

All changes of organization shall be consistent with adopted spheres of influence of affected agencies.

Standard 1.1.1

Consistency shall be determined by a LAFCO finding of consistency with the sphere of influence maps and policies adopted by LAFCO for the affected agencies.

Santa Cruz LAFCO Policy 1.2 - Need for Services

Any proposal involving annexations, incorporations, and formations shall not be approved unless it demonstrates a need for the additional services to be provided to the area; while all proposals involving detachments, disincorporations, and dissolutions shall not be approved unless the proponent demonstrates that the subject services are not needed or can be provided as well by another agency or private organization.

Standard 1.2.1

For proposals concerning cities, need shall be established by (a) an adopted rezoning, consistent with the city general plan, that shows current or future development at a density that will require urban services such as sanitary sewer and water, and (b) a city growth rate and pattern that the subject area will be developed within 5 years.

(Standard 1.2.2 Deleted)

Standard 1.2.3

For proposals concerning the extension of other services by annexation, incorporation, or district formation, need shall be established by the applicable general plan land use designations and the service levels specified for the subject area in the applicable general plan.

Standard 1.2.4

For proposals involving the discontinuation of services, lack of need shall be established by (a) no serious effects on the current users of the service due to discontinuation and (b) no projected serious effects on the uses that can be expected to occur in the next 5 years based upon the applicable general plan and projected growth rates and patterns.

Standard 1.2.5

In reviewing proposals, LAFCO shall consider: (1) the "population" in the proposal area to be the population recorded in the last biennial or special census unless the proponent or affected agency can present updated or more detailed information which LAFCO determines to be more accurate, (2) the "population density" to be the population divided by the acreage, and (3) the "per capita assessed valuation" to be the full cash value of all the property in a proposal area (as set by the last secured property tax roll) divided by the population.

Santa Cruz LAFCO Policy 1.3 - General Plans

In cases of overlapping plans, LAFCO shall make a determination of which general plan best carries out the policies of the Local Government Reorganization Act.

Standard 1.3.1

Generally, LAFCO will presume to favor a city's general plan inside the sphere of influence adopted for the city by LAFCO, and the county's general plan elsewhere. It is the proponent's responsibility to prove any exception by referring to the policies of the Local Government Reorganization Act.

Santa Cruz LAFCO Policy 1.4 - In-Fill Development

In order to avoid further urban sprawl, LAFCO shall encourage in-fill development in urban areas and annexations of areas inside the city sphere of influence.

Santa Cruz LAFCO Policy 1.5 - Provision of Services

In order for LAFCO to approve a change of organization, the proponent shall demonstrate that the subject services can be provided in a timely manner and at a reasonable cost.

(Standard 1.5.1 Deleted)

Standard 1.6.1

For proposals involving the extension of general municipal services to proposal areas greater than 50 acres, the proponent shall either (a) plan staged growth beginning closest to an existing urban area, or (b) demonstrate why such a plan does not promote urban sprawl and an inefficient pattern of services.

Santa Cruz LAFCO Policy 2.1 - Number of Agencies

Proposals, where feasible, should minimize the number of local agencies and promote the use of multi-purpose agencies.

Standard 2.1.1

New or consolidated service shall be provided by one of the following agencies in the descending order of preference:

- annexation to an existing city,
- annexation to an existing district of which the Board of Supervisors is the governing body,

- annexation to an existing multi-purpose district,
- annexation to another existing district
- formation of a new county service area,
- incorporation of a new city,
- formation of a new multi-purpose district,
- formation of a new single-purpose district.

Standard 2.1.2

The Commission will promote and approve district consolidations, where feasible.

Santa Cruz LAFCO Policy 2.2 - Logical Boundaries

LAFCO shall promote more logical agency boundaries.

Standard 2.2.1

To the greatest possible extent, boundaries shall follow existing political boundaries, natural features (such as ridges and watercourses), and constructed features (such as railroad tracks).

Standard 2.2.2

Boundary lines shall be located so that entire road rights-of-way are placed within the same jurisdiction as the properties fronting on the road.

Standard 2.2.3

Boundaries should avoid dividing an existing identifiable community, commercial district, or other area having social or economic homogeneity. Where such divisions are proposed, the proponents shall justify exceptions to this standard.

Standard 2.2.4

The creation of boundaries that divide assessment parcels shall be avoided whenever possible. If the proposed boundary divides assessment parcels, the proponents must justify to the Commission the necessity for such division. If the Commission approves the proposal, the Commission may condition the approval upon obtaining a boundary adjustment or lot split from a city or county.

Standard 2.2.5

Boundaries should not be drawn so as to create an island or strip either within the proposed territory or immediately adjacent to it. Where such an island or strip is proposed, the proponent must justify reasons for nonconformance with this standard.

Standard 2.2.6

Where feasible, city and related district boundary changes should occur concurrently to avoid an irregular pattern of boundaries.

Standard 2.2.7

A map of any proposed boundary change shall show the present and proposed boundaries of all affected agencies in the vicinity of the proposal site. The Commission shall assure that any approved boundary changes are definite and certain. The Commission may approve a proposal conditioned on the proponent preparing a new boundary map and description.

Standard 2.2.8

LAFCO will review each proposal and take actions needed to encourage timely annexations to discourage agencies from extending services by agreement without annexing to the agency.

Santa Cruz LAFCO Policy 2.3 - Financially Desirable Areas

The sole inclusion of financially desirable areas in a jurisdiction shall be avoided.

Standard 2.3.1

The Commission shall amend or reject any proposal that, in its estimation, appears to select principally revenue-producing properties for inclusion in a jurisdiction.

Santa Cruz LAFCO Policy 2.4 - Overall Effects

The Commission shall consider the effects of a proposed action on adjacent areas, mutual social and economic interests, and on local governmental structure.

Standard 2.4.1

For city annexation proposals, if the city has more jobs than places for workers to live (jobs to employed residents ratio greater than 1.00) then a proposal which will directly result in urban development including new permanent employment may only be approved if sufficient land is designated for residential uses in the city's general plan to create a jobs/ housing balance.

The Commission will consider and may grant waivers to this standard in cases where all of the following situations exist:

- 1) The territory being annexed is an island of incorporated territory and consistent with the definition of "island" in Government Code Section 56375,
- 2) The proposal is consistent with the spheres of influence of all affected agencies, and
- 3) The proposal has been initiated by resolution of the city which includes the subject property in its adopted sphere of influence.

Santa Cruz LAFCO Policy 2.5 - Rezoning

The Commission shall require rezoning for all city annexations so that the potential effects of the proposals can be evaluated by the Commission and known to the affected citizens.

Santa Cruz LAFCO Policy 3.1 - Prime Agricultural Lands

Urban growth shall be guided away from prime agricultural lands, unless such action would not promote planned, orderly, efficient development of an area.

Standard 3.1.1

A change of organization is considered to promote the planned, orderly, and efficient development of an area when:

- a) It is consistent with the spheres of influence maps and policies adopted by LAFCO for the affected agencies.
- b) It conforms to all other policies and standards contained herein.

Santa Cruz LAFCO Policy 3.2 - Infill

LAFCO shall encourage the urbanization of vacant lands and non-prime agricultural lands within an agency's jurisdiction and within an agency's sphere of influence before the urbanization of lands outside the jurisdiction and outside the sphere of influence, and shall encourage detachments of prime agricultural lands and other open space lands from cities, water districts, and sewer districts if consistent with the adopted sphere of influence of the affected agency.

Standard 3.2.1

The priorities for urbanization are:

- 1) open-space lands within existing boundaries,
- 2) open-space lands within an adopted sphere of influence,
- 3) prime agricultural lands within existing boundaries,
- 4) prime agricultural lands within an adopted sphere of influence.

Standard 3.2.2

Proposals involving urbanization of prime agricultural lands within adopted spheres of influence shall not be approved unless it can be demonstrated that (a) there is insufficient land in the market area for the type of land use proposed, (b) there is no vacant land in the subject jurisdiction available for that type of use.

Santa Cruz LAFCO Policy 4.1 – Water Resources

LAFCO recognizes that the water resources of Santa Cruz County are limited, and the Commission's objective is to ensure that its decisions relating to water do not lead to adverse impacts on the natural resources of Santa Cruz County. In reviewing boundary change applications, LAFCO shall be guided by the potential impacts of the proposal on water resources and will consider the efforts of the water agencies and land use agencies to maintain stream and river flows, promote high water quality of surface waters and groundwater, and reduce groundwater overdraft.

Standard 4.1.1

In any proposal requiring water service, the Commission requires that the agency that will provide the water will need to demonstrate the availability of an adequate, reliable and sustainable supply of water.

- a. In cases where a basin is overdrafted or existing services are not sustainable, a boundary change proposal may be approved if there will be a net decrease in impacts on water resources.
- b. In cases where a phased development is proposed, the agency should demonstrate that adequate service capacity will be provided as needed for each phase.
- c. In cases where a proposed new service area will be served by an onsite water source, the proponent should demonstrate its adequacy (Government Code Section 56668 (k)).
- d. In cases where the proposal's new water demand on the agency does not exceed the typical amount of water used by a single-family dwelling in the agency's service area, the Commission will not require that an "adequate, reliable, and sustainable" supply be demonstrated if the agency has a water conservation program and the program will be implemented as part of any new water service.

Standard 4.1.2

It is the general policy of the Commission to disapprove annexations to water and sewer agencies (including cities that provide either service) while there is a connection moratorium or other similar service limitation involving the subject water or sewer service. The Commission will consider exceptions to this general policy on a case-by-case basis. The Commission may approve an annexation that meets one or more of the following criteria:

- 1) To replace a private water source that has failed, such as a well that has gone dry. New service connections shall not be sized to accommodate more intensive development.
- 2) To replace a septic system that has failed. New service connections shall not be sized to accommodate more intensive development.
- 3) To implement a transfer of service between two existing agencies in a manner that is consistent with the adopted Spheres of Influence of those agencies.
- 4) To change a boundary, in a manner consistent with an adopted Sphere of Influence, so that an agency boundary does not divide a property that could only be conveyed under a single deed.

Between January 1, 1986 and the time the service limitation is totally lifted, the Commission shall limit the annexations so that the number of cumulative connections made under the above exemption criteria do not exceed 1% of the total agency's flow (as expressed in equivalent single family dwelling units) in service on January 1, 1986.

An additional criterion, not subject to the 1% cumulative impact limitation, is as follows:

- 5) To provide facilities or funding that will allow the agency to lift its service limitation.

Standard 4.2

For proposals concerning water and sewer district annexations, need shall be established by lack of services to existing urban land uses, or a building permit application or allocation for a single-family dwelling or, for a larger project, by (a) a tentative or final land use entitlement (tentative subdivision map use permit, etc.) conditioned on obtaining water or sewer service and (b) a growth rate and pattern that the subject area will be developed within 5 years.

Standard 4.3

The Commission will only approve boundary change applications when the Commission determines that it is unlikely that water resources will be degraded. The Commission will review each application to assure that, by implementing project-specific mitigations, participating in agency water conservation programs, or both if applicable, the project will not adversely affect sustainable yields in groundwater basins, flows in rivers and streams, water quality in surface water bodies and groundwater basins, and endangered species.

Standard 4.4

When more than one agency could serve an area, the agencies' services capabilities, costs for providing services, and the desires of the affected community will be key factors in determining a sphere of influence.